1	AARON D. FORD	
2	Attorney General	
	GREGORY D. OTT (Bar No. 10950) Chief Deputy Attorney General	
3	LAENA ST-JULES (Bar No. 15156)	
	Deputy Attorney General	
4	Office of the Attorney General	
_	100 N. Carson Street	
5	Carson City, Nevada 89701	
6	(775) 684-1100 telephone (775) 684-1108 fax	
	gott@ag.nv.gov	
7	lstjules@ag.nv.gov	
8	RYAN W. HERRICK (Bar No. 7380)	
9	General Counsel State Public Charter School Authority	
	1749 N. Stewart Street, Ste. 40	
10	Carson City, Nevada 89706	
	(775) 687-9159 telephone	
11	(775) 687-9113 fax	
12	rherrick@spcsa.nv.gov	
12	Attorneys for the SPCSA Defendants	
13	gramman	
	LINHTED OT A TIPO	DICTRICT COURT
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
16		
17	GABRIELLE CLARK; and WILLIAM CLARK,	
,	Gribrabebe der mar, una vriben nvi der mar,	Case No. 2:20-cv-02324-APG-VCF
18	Plaintiffs,	
	V.	
19	DEMOCDACY DDED DIDLIC SCHOOLS Inc.	
$_{20}$	DEMOCRACY PREP PUBLIC SCHOOLS, Inc.; and DEMOCRACY PREP NEVADA LLC; and	
-0	DEMOCRACY PREP AGASSI CAMPUS; and	
21	NATASHA TRIVERS, in her capacity as CEO of	DEFENDANT MELISSA MACKEDON'S
.	Democracy Prep Public Schools, Inc.; and	MOTION TO DISMISS
22	KIMBERLY WALL, in her capacity as assistant	
23	superintendent of Democracy Prep Public Schools, Inc.; and ADAM JOHNSON, in his	
	capacity as executive director of Democracy Prep	
24	Agassi Campus; and KATHRYN BASS, in her	
۱ ۔	capacity as a teacher at Democracy Prep Agassi	
25	Campus; and JOSEPH MORGAN, in his capacity as president of Democracy Prep Agassi Campus;	
26	and REBECCA FEINEN, in her capacity as the	
	Executive Director of the State Public Charter	
27	School Authority; and MELISSA MACKEDON	
,	(chair), RANDY KIRNER (vice chair), SHELIA	
28	MOULTON, SAMI RANDOLPH, MALLORY	

22 |

CYR, TONIA HOLMES-SUTTON, DON SOIFER, TAMIKA SHAUNTEE ROSALES, LEE FARRIS, in their capacities as members of the State Public Charter School Authority,

Defendants.

Defendant Melissa Mackedon moves to dismiss Plaintiffs Gabrielle Clark and William Clark's ("Plaintiffs") First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (the "FAC") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 12(b)(5) for insufficient service of process.

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed their original complaint in this action on December 22, 2020. ECF No. 1. On May 3, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their FAC, naming as a defendant for the first time Melissa Mackedon, chair of the State Public Charter School Authority ("SPCSA") board. *Compare* ECF No. 1 *with* ECF No. 106. Plaintiffs also added nine other defendants associated with the SPCSA: the Executive Director of the SPCSA and eight members of the SPCSA board (together with Chair Mackedon, the "SPCSA Defendants"). *Id.* Since May 3, 2021, 93 days have passed, and Plaintiffs have neither requested a waiver of service for, nor effected service on, Chair Mackedon. Plaintiffs have, however, requested and obtained a waiver of service for the other SPCSA Defendants. ECF No. 111.

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(5), Chair Mackedon moves for dismissal of this action against her without prejudice for failure to serve process on her within 90 days of the filing of the FAC, as required by Rule 4(m). This motion to dismiss is joined with the motion to dismiss filed by Chair Mackedon and the other SPCSA Defendants on June 3, 2021. *See* ECF No. 115; Rule 12(g).

II. ARGUMENT

Rule 4(m) requires that a defendant be served "within 90 days after the complaint is filed." Because Chair Mackedon was not named as a defendant in the original complaint, the 90-day-period runs from the filing of the FAC. *See Bolden v. City of Topeka*, 441 F.3d 1129, 1148 (10th Cir. 2006) (Rule 4(m)'s time to serve is restarted by the filing of an amended complaint "as to those defendants newly added in the amended complaint" (citations omitted)). 93 days have now passed since Plaintiffs filed their FAC, and service has not been effected on Chair Mackedon.

Case 2:20-cv-02324-APG-VCF Document 125 Filed 08/04/21 Page 3 of 5

Service of process is no mere formality or token act. "Service of process, under longstanding tradition in our system of justice, is fundamental to any procedural imposition on a named defendant." *Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 350 (1999). While the Court must extend the time to serve process "for an appropriate period" upon good cause shown, Rule 4(m), Plaintiffs cannot establish good cause here. "At a minimum, 'good cause' means excusable neglect." *Boudette v. Barnette*, 923 F.2d 754, 756 (9th Cir. 1991). It also "requires more than inadvertence or mistake of counsel." *Mitchell v. Cty. of Nye*, Case No. 2:15-cv-01714-APG-NJK, 2016 WL 6782740, at *2 (D. Nev. Nov. 14, 2016) (Gordon J.) (citing *Townsel v. Contra Costa Cty.*, 820 F.2d 319, 320 (9th Cir. 1987)). There is no explanation, other than inadvertence or mistake, for why Plaintiffs would have requested and obtained a waiver of service for the other newly added SPCSA Defendants, *see* ECF No. 111, but not Chair Mackedon.

The Court also has discretion to extend the time to serve, even in the absence of good cause. Rule 4(m). In determining whether to exercise that discretion, "a district court may consider factors 'like a statute of limitations bar, prejudice to the defendant, actual notice of a lawsuit, and eventual service." *Efaw v. Williams*, 473 F.3d 1038, 1041 (9th Cir. 2007) (citation omitted). Here, Plaintiffs would suffer no "severe harm by dismissal without prejudice," because, for example, there are no "statute of limitations that has expired." *Mitchell*, 2016 WL 6782740, at *3 (dismissing case without prejudice even where defendant had received actual notice and defendant had not identified any concrete prejudice). In light of Plaintiffs' lack of diligence in serving Chair Mackedon, the Court should dismiss this action against her without prejudice.

21 ||///

22 | | / / /

23 || / / /

24 | | / / /

25 || / / /

26 | | / / /

27 | | / /

28 | | / /

CONCLUSION 1 III. 2 For the foregoing reasons, the Court should dismiss this action against Chair Mackedon without 3 prejudice, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(5). 4 DATED this 4th day of August, 2021. 5 AARON D. FORD Attorney General 6 By: /s/ Laena St-Jules 7 GREGORY D. OTT (Bar No. 10950) Chief Deputy Attorney General 8 LAENA ST-JULES (Bar No. 15156) Deputy Attorney General 9 State of Nevada 100 N. Carson Street 10 Carson City, Nevada 89701 gott@ag.nv.gov 11 lstjules@ag.nv.gov 12 STATE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORITY 13 RYAN W. HERRICK (Bar No. 7380) General Counsel 14 State Public Charter School Authority 1749 N. Stewart Street, Ste. 40 15 Carson City, Nevada 89706 rherrick@spcsa.nv.gov 16 Attorneys for the SPCSA Defendants 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on August 4th, 2021, I filed the foregoing **DEFENDANT MELISSA MACKEDON'S MOTION TO DISMISS** by this Court's CM/ECF system. Parties will be notified by the Court's notification system.

/s/ Karen Easton

Karen Easton, An employee of the Office of the Attorney General